

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,370	10/31/2003	Gregory Schom	COD5047 3029		
27777	7590 06/02/2006		EXAMINER		
PHILIP S. J		DOUGLAS, STEVEN O			
JOHNSON & ONE JOHNS	: JOHNSON ON & JOHNSON PLAZ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW BRUN	SWICK, NJ 08933-700	3751			
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No. Applicant(s)					
		10/699,3	70	SCHORN ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit	***			
		Steven O.		3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	31 October 200	3					
	his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
·								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
	✓ Claim(s) <u>12-15</u> is/are objected to.✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
		and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen			4) D lotosian Commission	(PTO 412)				
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	48)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Inforr	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04202005</u> .	SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:		O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,4-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hammarberg'519 et al.

The Hammarberg et al. reference discloses a device/kit for refilling an implantable pump 4 comprising a first syringe 18, a second syringe 16, a three-way valve or stopcock 20 and a filling needle.

In regard to claim 4, the second syringe 16 further reserves as a collection syringe when the system is in a rinse mode of operation (see col. 5, lines 54-65).

In regard to claim 5, the piston or actuating rod 36 includes an implied stopper or piston in order to facilitate operation of the syringe.

In regard to claim 11, the method as claimed would be inherent during normal use and operation of the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammarberg'519 et al.

The Hammarberg et al. reference discloses a pump refilling apparatus (supra), but is silent as to the capacity of first and second syringes (i.e. 50 ml and 10 ml respectively). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the first and second syringes be 50 ml and 10 ml in capacity (if not already), since it has been held that where discovering an optimum amount or value involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571)-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,370

Art Unit: 3751

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Bysiness

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751